NNADIEBUBE JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES

Vol. 2 No.1 July-December 2021

ISSN (Print) 2636-6398
E-ISSN (Online) 2636-638X
Journal Website (URL): Https://nnadiebubejss.org

Published, 2022

Printed in Nigeria by:

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION IKWO PRINTING PRESS LTD

RC: 55066

ADDRESS:

COEIPP LTD **Ebonyi State College of Education, Ikwo, Ebonyi State Nigeria,**

Motto: *Integrity and Quality Productions*

EDITORIAL POLICIES

Nnadiebube Journal of Social Sciences (NJSS) is published in Faculty of Social Sciences, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State Nigeria. NJSS is an online, open access, peer reviewed, academic journal that publishes original research, well-structured evaluation studies, current case reports, meta-analysis reports, systematic review articles, book review of highly scholarly standards and theoretical manuscript which are aimed at proffering solutions to critical social and behavioral problems in Africa. The core goal of NJSS is the communication of scientific findings and interpretation in a simple manner but without the sacrifice of professional standards. Authors are to visit the journal website: (**https//njss.org)** to register and submit their manuscripts. The Nnadiebube Journal of Social Science publications shall be both online and off-line. Publication shall be regular and special issues.

1. NJSS Regular Publication: This shall be published twice in a year: January - June and July –December. Unlike Special Issue Publications, authors shall pay publication fees for Regular Publication.
2. NJSS Special Issue Publication: This shall be published once in a year or thrice in three years. Special issue is organized around an integral theme. Special Issue Publications shall be sponsored publications. Unlike Regular Publications, authors shall not pay publication fees for Special Issue Publication.

Authors are to visit (**https//njss.org)** to register and submit their manuscripts. All manuscript for NJSS regular publication shall be sent to submission.njss@journals.unizik.edu.ng. All manuscript for NJSS special issue publication shall be sent to specialissue.njss@journals.unizik.edu.ng. Editorial review board members shall return all the reviewed manuscripts and their comments to editor.njss@journals.unizik.edu.ng. Any Information about the journal, publication, or other than publication, shall be sent to info.njss@journals.unizik.edu.ng.

NJSS Privacy Policy

The names and email addresses entered in this NJSS publication site will be used exclusively for the stated purposes of this journal and not be made available for any other purpose or to any other party.

NJSS Guidelines for Submission of Manuscript

Nnadiebube Journal of Social Sciences (NJSS) is published in Faculty of Social Sciences, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State Nigeria. NJSS is an online, open access, peer reviewed, academic journal that publishes original research, well-structured evaluation studies, current case reports, meta-analysis reports, systematic review articles, book review of highly scholarly standards and theoretical manuscript which are aimed at proffering solutions to critical social and behavioral problems around the globe. The core goal of NJSS is the communication of scientific findings and interpretation in a simple manner but without the sacrifice of professional standards. Authors are to visit the journal website: (**https//njss.org)** to register and submit their manuscripts at submission.njss@journals.unizik.edu.ng.

Scope : Papers submitted for review and possible publication may address any aspect of general and applied psychology, work organization research and personnel policy, leadership research and organization science policy, meta-analytical research, progressive reviews, data science, meta-bus research and big data policy, employee-assistance profession research, labor union research and policy, Innovative work behaviors, sociological research and policy, vocational and occupational behavior, economic research and policy, organizational behavior, African business, economic research and policy, consumer behavior, behavioral science research and policy, cross-cultural studies, machine-assisted learning, Higher education and learning technology, drug abuse research and substance abuse policy, political science research and policy, social media research and digital communication policy, brief reports, ethical issues relating to business. NJSS is powered by knowledgeable and resourceful editorial board.

Submitting your Manuscript: Authors interested in publishing articles in Nnadiedube Journal of Social Sciences are encourage to submit their manuscripts electronically to the submission.njss@journals.unizik.edu.ng. Manuscript for submission must be written in English Language with double-spacing throughout on one side of A4 or use standard size paper with all margins at least one inch saved as Microsoft word file. NJSS encourage conciseness in writing. Typical manuscripts should normally be between 15 to 35 pages, including references, tables and figures. Longer papers will be considered and published if it met the above criteria. The best ideas are always expressed in simple, direct language. Excessive references are not helpful. Cite only the most representative and authoritative sources to support your points.

NJSS accept only English Language Manuscripts. Poor writing may jeopardize the evaluation of good ideas. Poor grammar impedes communication. NJSS encourage use of a professional copy editing service before submission of the manuscript, especially for non-native English speaking authors. The better developed manuscript and the ideas it contains, the easier it will be to review, and the better it will be received by reviewers. NJSS encourage authors to seek peer reviews on their manuscript prior to submission to NJSS. Each submission should be accompanied by a cover letter addressed to the Editor, indicating that the manuscript is original and not under consideration by any other journal or book. An acknowledgment of receipt will be e-mailed to the author within two days and the manuscript will be sent for external review by three independent reviewers. Once a manuscript is received at NJSS, the editor reads the manuscript for appropriateness for NJSS. Manuscripts prepared in a way that could compromise blind review also may be returned to the author for revision. The American Psychological Association's Publication Manual (7th edition) should be followed when preparing manuscripts. Manuscripts are reviewed by the Editorial Board. NJSS allow up to ten days for commencement of external review, 3 months for a publication decision and up to 1 year for publication.

Authors should supply a cover page with the names and complete contact information for the primary author and any co-authors. Their names should not appear elsewhere in the manuscript. Specifically, the cover page has the title of the paper, the names of all the authors and their affiliation; along with the detailed address of the corresponding author, including postal address, email address, phone number, and fax number. Acknowledgments should be the first entry in the Notes section, which immediately precedes the References. The numbered notes should begin after the acknowledgements. The second page of the paper should have the title of the paper and an informative abstract of no more than 250 words, double-spaced. Provide up to five key words or phrases to help in identifying appropriate reviewers. The body of the paper begins on page 3. It is not necessary to include the title on this page. Primary headings should be capitalized and bold. Secondary headings should be in upper and word capitalized. Third level headings should be italicized with the first word capitalized. All headings should be left justified.

Authors are to organize the manuscript into five main sections: Introduction, Theoretical Background and Literature Reviews (if hypotheses are used, include them in this section), Methods, Result, Discussion and Conclusion. Use secondary headings within each main section to clearly organize the presentation. Put sentences in the active voice (e.g. 'I did it, they did it) instead of the passive voice ('it was done') to make it easy for readers to see who did what. Use the first person ("I" or "We") to

describe what you did yourself. Number all the pages, from the cover page to the end of the entire manuscript. Kindly, prepare the entire manuscript (including tables and figures) in Microsoft Word using Times New Roman font, use 12 point size for the body of the paper. NJSS is published twice a year; contributors should e-mail their manuscripts to the Editor at editor.njss@journals.unizik.edu.ng. For additional information, please contact: info.njss@journals.unizik.edu.ng.

Rights and Responsibilities of author:

- Author will be responsible for any kind of plagiarism issue
- Article should not be published in multiple journals.
- Author warrant and represent that the work does not violate any proprietary or personal rights of others (including, without limitation, any copyrights or privacy rights)
- the Work is factually accurate and contains no matter libelous or otherwise unlawful
- Author(s) has/have substantially participated in the creation of the Work and that it represents their original work sufficient for them to claim authorship.

Journal Rights:

- The NJSS Publication has the authority to remove your articles in case if we found any plagiarism issue or copyright infringement.

13	Dr Chinwendu Michael Okoro	Coal City University, Enugu, Nigeria	chnwendu.okoro@ccu.edu.ng
14	Professor Catherine Chovwen	University of Ibadan, Nigeria	co.chovwen@mail1.ui.edu.ng
15	Dr Blessing Nonye Onyima	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria	bn.onyima@unizik.edu.ng
16	Dr Ebeh Richards Ebireonwu	Imo State University,Owerri, Nigeria	richebeh@gmai.com
17	Professor Julie Onyowoicho Enewa Orshi	University of Jos, Nigeria	orshij@unijos.edu.ng
18	Dr Akanni Abimbola Adesina	Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	akanniaa@oauife.edu.ng
19	Dr olusa Abayomi Olubanjo	Adekunle Ajazin University, Akungba- Akoko , Nigeria	bjyomsi@gmail.com
20	Professor Barnabas Nwankwo	Caritas University, Amorji-Nike, Enugu, Nigeria	prof.barnabasnwankwo @caritasuni.edu.ng
21	Dr Olonade Zaccheaus	Osun State University,Osogbo, Nigeria	zakiolonade@gmail.com
22	Dr Ucho Aondoaver	Benue State University, Makurdi, Nigeria	uchoaondoaver@gmail.com
23	Professor Ogungbamila Bolanle	Adekunle Ajazin University, Akungba- Akoko , Nigeria	bolanleogungbamila @aaua.edu.ng
24	Dr Alhassan Emmanuel Onu	Nasarewa State University, Keffi, Nigeria	eoalhassan@gmail.com
25	Dr Ojo Solomon	Osun State University,Osogbo, Nigeria	solomon.ojo@uniosun.edu.ng
26	Professor Elvis Ihaji	Benue State University, Makurdi, Nigeria	eihaji@bsum.edu.ng
27	Dr Udedibie Okechukwu Boniface Ikeli	Federal poytechnic Nekede, Nigeria	ikeliudedibie@gmail.com
28	Dr Ogunkuade Idowu Micheal	Nigerian CopyRight Commision Abuja, Nigeria	idowukuade@gmail.com

29	Professor Allen Nnanwuba Adum	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria	an.adum@unizik.edu.ng
30	Dr Owoseni Omosolape Olakitan	Federal University Oye-Ekiti, Nigeria	soolakitan@yahoo.com
31	Dr Legbeti Grace Ohunene	Nigerian Defence Academy, Kaduna, Nigeria	gracelegbeti@gmail.com
32	Professor Nyitor Alexandra Shenge	University of Ibadan, Nigeria	na.shenge@mail.ui.edu.ng
33	Dr Ayinde Adeboye Titus	Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	ayindade@oauife.edu.ng
34	Dr Nwanzu Lucky Chiyem	Delta State University Abraka, Nigeria	nwanzuchiyem@gmail.com
35	Professor Lawrence Amazue	University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria	lawrence.amazue@unn.edu.ng
36	Dr Imhur Moses Terfa	University of Uyo, Uyo Nigeria	mosimbur@yahoo.com
37	Dr Umokoro Omonigho Simon	Maju Foundation Ibadan, Nigeria	simon.umokoro@yahoo.com
38	Professor Alarape Adeyemi Ismail	University of Ibadan, Nigeria	ai.alarape@gmail.com
39	Dr Aighiremhon Ikekhide Joseph	Godfry Okoye University, Ugwuomu-Nike, Nigeria	jeotriplets@yahoo.com
49	Dr Ann Chinazo Onyekelu	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria	ac.onyekelu@unizi.edu.ng
41	Professor Omolayo Benjamin Oluwabunmi	Federal University Oye-Ekiti, Nigeria	benbunomolayo@yahoo.com
42	Dr Akinbabolola Olusola Iyabode	Redeemers University,Mowe, Ogun State, Nigeria	solaakinbobola@yahoo.co.uk
43	Dr Onuoha Chibuzo Uchenna	Adekunle Ajazin University, Akungba- Akoko , Nigeria	nauche2010@yahoo.com
44	Dr Christopher Ifeanyi Ibenegbu	University of Nigeria , Nsukka, Nigeria	christopher.ibenegbu @unn.edu.ng
45	Dr Ogochukwu Okafor	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria	eo.okafor@unizik.edu.ng

46	Dr Ogunola Abiodun Adekunle	Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago-Iwoye, Nigeria	psychabiodun@gmail.com
47	Dr Uhiara Anayo Chukwunonye	Federal Polytechnic Nekede, Nigeria	cuhiara@fpno.edu.ng
48	Dr Chukwudi Joseph Okonkwo	Imo State Polytechnic Umuagwo, Nigeria	jochy2kng@yahoo.com
49	Dr Olowodunoye Stella Abiodun	Adekunle Ajazin University, Akungba- Akoko , Nigeria	favourolowo2005@gmail.com
50	Dr Ugwu Lawrence Ejike	Renaissance University Enugu, Nigeria	law.ugwu@gmail.com
51	Dr Ijide Wilson Ochoroghene Vincent	University of Ibadan, Nigeria	wovijide@yahoo.com
52	Dr Uju Regina Ezenekwe	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria	ur.ezenekwe@unizik.edu.ng
53	Dr Adelusi Johnson Oluwadare	Founiks Health Services, Lagos, Nigeria	dadelusi@gmail.com
54	Dr Douglas Nwaonuma Nnachi	Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Nigeria	douglasnnachi@ebsu.edu.ng
55	Dr Lawrence Lanshima Orkuugh	Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Nigeria	orkuullawrence@gmail.com
56	Dr Edward Kuruku	Benue State University, Makurdi, Nigeria	edwardkuruku@gmail.com
57	Professor Bernard Chukwukelue Chine	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria	bc.chine@unizik.edu.ng
		CONSULTING EDITORS	
SN	**NAME**	**CONTACT ADDRESS**	**CONTACT E MAIL**
58	Professor Rita Orji	Dalhousie University , Canada	purity.rita@gmail.com
59	Professor Uche Collins Nwaogwugwu	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria	uc.nwaogwugwu @unizik.edu.ng

60	Professor Ikechukwu Anthony Kanu	University of Jos, Nigeria	kanui@unijos.edu.ng
61	Rev.Fr. Professor Chuka Mike Ifeagwazi	University of Nigeria, Nsukka , Nigeria	chuka.ifeagwazi@unn.edu.ng
62	Professor Benjamin Osayawe Ehigie	University of Ibadan, Nigeria	benosang@yahoo.com
63	Professor Sylvester Ntomchukwu Madu	Chukwuemeka Odimegwu Ojukwu University, Igbariam, Nigeria	madusylvester@yahoo.com
64	Professor Leonard Ifeanyi Ugwu	University of Nigeria, Nsukka , Nigeria	leonard.ugwu@unn.edu.ng
65	Rev. Fr. Professor Jude Obinna Ezeokana	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria	jo.ezeokana@unizik.edu.ng
66	Professor Mathew Mogaji	Benue State University, Markudi, Nigeria	a_mogaji@yahoo.com
67	Professor Ajila Olugbenga Chris	Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria	cajila2002@yahoo.co.uk
68	Professor Andrew Zamani	Nasarawa State Universiy, Keffi, Nigeria	zamanie@nsuk.edu.ng
69	Professor Richard Uwakwe	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria	r.uwakwe@unizik.edu.ng
70	Professor Nkam Uwaoma	Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria	nkwam.uwaoma @imsu.edu.ng

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Identification of Difficult Physics Process Skills in Physics Practical Activities among Senior Secondary School Physics Students in Awka Education Zone

Okafor T.U PhD
Chukwuemeka Odimeogwu Ojukwu University, Igbariam, Anambra State
Email: tersaokafor@gmail.com, +2348063722257

Abstract
The study aimed at the identification of difficult physics process skills in practical activities among secondary School physics students. The sample was made up of 162 physics students from 6 secondary Schools in Awka education zone of Anambra State. The design for the study was a descriptive survey. The Physics Process Skills investigated were: controlling of variables, observation, conduct of experiment, measurement. Communication, data analysis and inference. The instrument used for data collection was a Physics Process Skill Rating Scale (PPSRS) designed by the researcher and validated by physics educators in Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Igbariam, Anambra State and physics teachers teaching the SS 3 Physics students in Awka education zone. Test –retes method was used to establish stability of the instrument using Pearson product moment correlation and the index of stability was 0.68. The estimate of internal consistency using crombach alpha was 0.70. Physics students' grades for one session were used to classify them as high and low ability. Three research questions and two hypotheses guided the study. Data collected was analyzed using means, standard deviation and z-test. The study revealed that of the seven skills investigated, physics students had difficulty in the acquisition of six of the skills. The findings also revealed that female and low ability Physics students were the most affected. Among others, it was recommended that physics teachers should start practical activities from SS1. In addition, physics teachers should be retrained by exposing them to seminars, workshops and conferences on physics process skills. Equally, physics teachers should also be sensitized on gender issues in physics.

Keywords: Identification dificulty, physics process skills, physics practical activity, gender

INTRODUCTION
All technology is beholden to physics due to its emphasis on addressing phenomena involving the interaction of matter and energy. This interaction is necessary for the technological needs of the changing society(Musasia; Abacha & Biyoyo ,2012). Physics continues to

influence applications to medicine, medical methods including imaging techniques (X-rays, CT –Scanning , ultra-sound echo techniques, MRI techniques) and diagnostic patient screaming techniques (Freeman, 2012) are based on physics principles. The unraveling of DNA structure and the subsequent genome project required a significant input from techniques (Stanley, 2000) Continuing research into challenges posed by diseases such as cancer, Ebola and HIV/ AIDS, will require the development of high precision equipment employing physics principles. The current fixation with information communication technologies (ICTs) could not have occurred without the primal physics discovery of the transistor. Computers, mobile phones and their attendant spin-off technologies show the indispensability of physics. Photonics and other quantum nanostructures show promise in terms of optical fibre based communication systems (Sharma, Rohilla, Sharma & Manjunath ,2009). Electromagnetism is vital in the generation of electricity, mobile phone communication, optical and satellite communication, portable electronics, radio and radar perception, and x-ray crystallography (Campbell, 2006).

The need to include physics education in the secondary school curriculum is mainly to enable students develop scientific knowledge, skills and positive changes towards science and technology. Physics as a practical subject provides physics students with an opportunity to interact with science process, skills in physics that can be used to solve problems in everyday life and contribute to national development. Science Process Skills in physics are activities which physics students carry out in scientific investigations to enable the acquisition of scientific knowledge and skills. The importance of teaching science processes skills in physics is to allow physics students to describe objects and events, ask questions, construct explanations, test those explanations against current scientific phenomena and communicate their ideas to others (Opara , 2011).

Science process skills in physics enable physics students experience hands-on engagement with physics materials when solving problems using practical approaches. The emphasis on physics process –based activities in physics lessons cannot be doubted , as this is clearly evident in the objectives and instructional programmes in physics at the Senior secondary school level. The proponents of physics process based approach uphold the teaching of physics process – skills and advocate for the skills to be developed through experimenting (Abungu, Okere

& Wachanga, 2014) . The physics students according to West African School Certificate Examination (WASCE) And National Examination Council (NECO) curriculum are evaluated on practical activities in their final examinations. Physics practical test is designed to develop and test three aspects of students' intellectual development; cognitive , affective and psychomotor skills. This practical is introduced late and the students have to acquire these practical skills in less than one year to write the ordinary level (OL) Examination. According to Njoku and Atanga (2011), the late introduction of training in the psychomotor skills at the OL may be the cause of poor student achievement in physics . Ordinary level physics students will have problems acquiring these practical skills in this short period to write the final examination This might affect the overall student achievement in OL physics. According to Macbeth (1974) , manipulative e skills for early grade child is more important than the later grade child because the skills will develop with the child.This was further stressed by Lawrence in Njoku and Atanga (2011) that there are likely some specific difficulties in future if suitable opportunities are not available at the age between four and ten. Since science process skills in physics influence physics achievement in external examinations such as WAEC and NECO, the poor achievement in physics could be attributed to physics paper 3 where practical and psychomotor skills are intensely examined at OL.

According to Njoku and Atanga l (2011), difficulties related to practical physics have been associated to ability level of students. This is evident from Mbamalu (1990), who found out that students with high academic ability have a positive attitude to physics unlike those with low academic ability. But his findings did not confirm whether these high academic ability students possess science process practical skills norachieve better in practical physics. Nzewi (1999) , has attributed girls' movement away from physics to school type, teacher, classroom behaviour, social condition, environment and home background . Also Nwachukwuin Njoku and Atanga (2011),said that gender inequalities in physics are due to differences in the spatial abilities of male and female. The low enrolment of girls at OL physics as opined by Akem (1993) could be attributed to this practical paper.

Akam (1993) observed that the frequency at which practical activities is conducted could be a factor which can effect practical skill acquisition but

failed to show whether students in schools who perform practical activities frequently score higher grades than those who perform practical activities less frequently. Also Offorma (1994) opined that repletion for repletion sake does not promote memory span rather it brings boredom and hatred of activity. These problems which affect practical activities in physics will also affect achievement in physics at the OL physics examination . The activities carried out by the students under this framework will enable them to practice and utilize process –skills. This set of intellectual abilities is referred to as science process skills, which scientists used (Bentlay, Ebet & Ebert, 2007).Besides promoting the acquisition of the physics process-skills, practical work in physics facilitate the necessary learning environments such as active participation integration to life and meaningful learning (Karamustafaogw, 2011).

There are quite a number of science process skills provided for in the secondary School physics syllabus. The American Association for the Advancement of science (AAAS) classified the science process skills into fifteen (Bubee, cartson –powell & Trowbridge2008). These are: Observing , measuring, classifying , communicating, predicting, informing, using number, using space / time relationship, questioning , controlling variables, hypothesizing, defining operationally, formulating , models, designing experiment and interpreting data. Physics practical skills are science process skills. However this paper is focused on seven selected process skills commonly practiced in the physics lesson and tested WAEC physics practical paper, namely: Controlling variables, observation, conduct of experiment , measurement, communication data analysis and inference.

Problem achievement in physics is related to the acquisition of science process skills and if the acquisition of these skills is low achievement in physics will consequently be low. Most importantly the acquisition of these skills is through laboratory practical activities. Perhaps this poor achievement could be as a result of the lack of the acquisition of science process skills in physics by physics practical activities . Therefore, the problem of this study is to identify the difficult science process skills in physics practical activities among ordinary level physics students in Awka Education zone, Anambra State.

Research Questions

The following research questions were posed to guide the study

1. What are the science process Skills in physics which physics students in senior Secondary School experience difficulties to acquire during physics practical activities.
2. Which physics process skills in physics practical activities do male and female physics students in SS III experience difficulties to acquire during physics practical activities.
3. Which science process skills in physics do senior secondary physics students at different ability levels experience difficulties to acquire during physics practical activities.

Hypotheses

The following null hypotheses were formulated to guide the study.

1. There is no significant difference in the mean level of difficulties experienced by male and female SSIII physics students in acquiring physics process skills in physics through physics practical activities.
2. The mean level of difficulty in the acquisition of science process skills during physics practical activities by SSIII physics students of different ability level do not differ significantly.

METHOD

Instrument

The descriptive survey design was used for data collection . A four-point scale rated as follows: very difficult, difficult, easy and very easy graded 4,3,2 and 1 respectively. The instrument used for this study which was developed by the researcher was Physics Processing Skill Rating Scale(PPSRS). it was validated by physics educators in Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University Uli, Anambra State and also Physics teachers teaching SSIII Physics students in Awka education zone. Test-retest method used to establish the stability of the instrument using person product moment correlation co-efficient and the index of stability was found to be 0.68. Also the estimate of internal consistency using cronbach alpha was 0.70.

Participants

The Population was made up of 2338 Senior Secondary School (SSSIII) physics students in Awka-Education zone from 55 Senior Secondary Schools of which 24 Senior Secondary Schools were purposively sampled because of the greater number of students that offer physics in SSIII. Six Senior Secondary Schools were randomly sampled for the study using simple random sampling technique. The sample was made up of all 162

SS3 physics students in Awka-Education Zone.

Data analysis Procedure

Data were analyzed using means and standard deviation to answer research questions A mean of 2.5 and above on a skill was considered to be difficult while a mean score of below 2.5 was considered as indicator of skills easy to acquire . The z-test was used to verify the hypotheses .

RESULTS

Research Question 1: **What are the physics process skills in physics which physics students experience difficulties to acquire during physics practical activities.**

Table I: Means and standard deviation of scores of physics students on level of difficulty they experience in acquiring physics process skills during practical activities.

S/N	Process skills Items	No. of Respondent	X	SD	Difficulty Level
1.	Controlling Variables	6	162	2.94 0.97	difficult
2.	Observation	2	162	2.87 1.04	difficulty
3.	Conduit of experiment	5	162	2.67 1.2	difficulty
4.	Measurement	8	162	2.40 0.95	easy
5.	Communication	6	162	2.86 0.99	difficulty
6.	Data analysis	6	162	2.59 1.01	difficulty
7.	Inference	5	162	2.86 1.05	difficulty

Table 1 shows that physics students find measurement skills easy. They find the other six skills difficult to acquire. The standard divinations for skills 2, 3, 6 and 7 relatively are high indicating wide spread of the respondent score from the mean. This is the reverse with skills 1, 4 and 5 where the standard deviation is low.

Research Questions 2

Which physics process skills in physics practical activities do male and female physics students in ss III experience difficulties to acquire during practical activities.

Table 2: Means and standard deviations of scores of male and female SSIII physics students on the level of difficulties, they experience in acquiring physics process skills during physics practical activities.
No of male respondents =109, No of female respondents= 53

S/N Process skills	No of items	Xm	X_f	SD_m	SD_f
1.Controlling variables	6	3.00	2.80	0.87	1.06
2.Observation	2	2.50	3.60	0.93	0.81
3. Conduct of experiment	5	2.73	2.73	0.92	1.03
4. Measurement	8	2.27	2.47	0.87	0.95
5.Communication	6	2.79	2.97	0.92	1.00
6.Data analysis	6	2.52	2.75	0.95	1.04
7.Inference	5	2.92	2.92	1.08	0.95

X_m mean of male ;X_f mean for female; SD_m standard derivation for male, SDf standard deviation for female

Table 2 showed that the most difficult skill is Observation. Apart from measurement skill which both male and femaleSS3 physics students find easy, the other skills are difficult for both male and female physics students but female physics students find them more difficult to acquire than their physics male counterparts. The standard deviation for skill 7 for male and 1,3,5, and 6 for female are high indicating wide spread of the respondents scores from the mean.

Research Question 3

Which physics process skills in physics do SS3 physics students at different ability levels experience difficulties to acquire during physics practical activities

Table 3: Means and standard deviations of scores of physics students of different ability levels in the acquisition of physics process skills in practical activities.

No of high ability respondents =76. No of low ability respondents = 86

S/N	Process skills	No of items	X_{ha}	X_{la}	SD	$SD_{ha\ la}$
1	Controlling variables	6	2.79	3.07	0 .94	0.93
2	Observation	2	2.34	3.42	1.19	0.70
3	Conduct of experiment	5	2.50	2.85	0.99	0.90
4	Measurement	8	2.21	2.45	0.97	0.85
5	Communication	6	2.54	3.07	1.03	0.83
6	Data analysis	6	2.46	2.70	1.02	0.95
7	Inference	5	2.57	3.04	1.08	0.94

X_{ha}- mean scores for high ability; X_{la}-mean scores of low ability; SD_{ha}- standard deviation for high ability., SD_{la}- standard deviation for low ability.

High ability level of students find skills 2, 4 and 6 easy while the low ability level students find only skill 4 easy to acquire. The low ability level students find skills 1, 2, 5 and 7 very difficult while the high ability level students find skills 1,3,5 and 7 difficult to acquire. The standard deviation for skills 2, 5, 6 and 7 for high ability level physics students is high indicating wide spread of scores of respondents from the mean. On the other hand, the standard deviations for skill 1, 3 and 4 for high ability students and standard deviations for all skills for low ability students is small indicating close spread of scores from the mean. In other words most of the low ability students find the skills difficult to acquire

Hypothesis 1

There is no significant difference in the mean level of difficulties experienced by male and female SSIII physics students in acquiring physics process skills through physics piratical activities.

Table 4: Z-test comparisons of the mean scores of male and female physics students on the level of difficulties in acquisition of physics practical skill

S/N	Process skills	No of items	X_m	X_f	SD_m	Z-*Cal*	SIG.
1.	Controlling variables	6	3.00	2.80	0.87	1.19	Not sig.
2.	Observation	2	2.50	3.60	0.93	7.8	Sig.
3.	Conduct of experiment	5	2.73	2.73	0.96	0.06	Not sig.
4.	Measurement	8	2.27	2.47	0.87	1.29	Not sig.
5.	Communication	6	2.79	2.97	0.92	0.74	Not sig.
6.	Data analysis	6	2.52	2.75	0.95	1.42	Not sig.
7.	Inference	5	2.77	2.92	1.08	0.90	Not sig.

Degree of freedom Df =160; z table = 1.96, Significance of Z (P< 0.05)

In table 4, apart from skill 2 which the mean score is significantly different at the 0.05 level, all the other mean scores for all other skills are statistically not significant. Therefore apart from skill 2 where female students experience more difficulties than male students, both male and female physics students apparently experience equal difficulties in the other six skills. Thus the null hypothesis is accepted for skills 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 while it is rejected for skill 2.

Hypothesis 2

The mean level of difficultly in the acquisition of science process skills during physics practical activities by SSIII physics students of different ability levels do not differ significantly.

Table 5: Z –test companion of the mean and score of students different ability level in the difficulty in the acquisition of physics practical skills.

S/N	Process Skills	No of items	X_{ha}	X_{la}	SD_{ha}	SD_{la}	Z-cal	Significance
1.	Controlling variable	6	2.79	3.07	0.94	0.9	1.96	Sig.
2.	Observation	2	2.34	3.42	1.19	0.7	6.93	Sig.
3.	Conduct of experiment	5	2.50	2.84	0.99	0.90	2.34	Sig.
4.	Measurement	8	2.21	2.43	0.97	0.85	1.66	Not sig.
5.	Communication	6	2.54	3.07	1.03	0.83	3.57	Sig.
6.	Data analysis	6	2.46	2.76	1.02	0.95	9.92	Sig.
7.	Inference	5	2.57	3.04	1.08	0.94	2.80	Sig.

Table 5 shows that except for skill 4 the mean scores of SSIII physics students of different ability levels are statistically different in all physics process skills, low ability level physics SSS III Physics students experience more difficulty than their high ability counterparts in all but one skill. Therefore hypothesis 2 is rejected for skills 1,2,3,5,6, and 7. However hypothesis 2 is accepted for skill 4. High ability and low ability SSIII physics students experience equal levels of difficultly in skill 4, while low ability students experience more difficulty in skills 1,2,3, 5,6, and 7.

DISCUSSION

The findings indicate that measurement is the only skill which SSIII physics students find easy to acquire. The SSIII physics students find the other six skills difficult to acquire during physics practical activities. The reasons for the difficulty in acquiring physics process skills during practical activities may be attributed to the fact that from SSI to first term in SSIII in most senior secondary schools, physics practical is not taught. The physics students are usually taught practicals when West African School Certificate Examination (WASCE) is approaching or almost at hand. This is in line with Njoku and Atanga (2011) whose findings showed that though physics practical activities are very necessary for students to understand the theoretical concepts, the physics teachers shy away from them for various reasons. They also observed that most physics teachers in particular and other science teachers in general carry out practical activities with their students in rare occasions. Most physics teachers also lack the skills they are expected to teach. This is in conformity with Muwanga- Zaki (2003) whose finding was that teachers avoid practical because they lack practicals skills. Therefore, physics teaching is aimed at the physics students obtaining their certificates and not to acquire skills to solve their life problems. Physics students in secondary schools cannot acquire physics practical skills in less than two years to write WASCE Examination and are expected to be efficient. The findings of the study also showed that SSIII female physics students lack the acquisition of the physics process skills more than their male counterparts. The findings support that of Njoku and Atanga (2011) who opined that female apathy in science and technology is a serious problem as indicated by many findings worldwide.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations are made:

1. The physics teachers of senior secondary schools should endeour to teach pratical physics from SSI.
2. The government should put as a pre-condition an equipped science laboratory for the approval of any secondary school. The utilization of the laboratories in secondary schools will promote physics teachers practical skills among physics students.
3. Pedagogic inspectors, principals and school administrators should be strict on the maximum use of the physics laboratory and other science laboratories by physics and other science teachers during teaching.
4. Since the rating of physics students acquisition of physics process skills is rather low they may be need to retrain physics teachers in those skills. This retraining will have positive effect on the physics students.
5. The government should open Girls Science School in each education zone of Anambra state so that they will help to promote gender equality in sciences especially physics. Workshops, seminars and conferences should be organized by science teachers association of Anambra state (STAN),Government, State Post Primary Schools Service Commission (PPSSC) and higher institutions for Physics teachers.

REFERENCES

Abungu, H. E., Okere, M. I. O., & Wachanga, S. W. (2014). The effect of science process skills teaching approach on secondary school students achievement in chemistry in Nyando district, Kenya. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, *4*(6), 356-371.

Akem, E.M.A. (1993). Mathematics in advanced level physics course in Anglophone Cameroom. *Unpublished Master`s Project. University of Readings, England.*

Bentley, M., Ebert, E. S., & Ebert, C. (2007). Teaching Constructivist Science K-8:*nuturing natural Investigators in the standards - based classroom.* Crown Press-Sage Publishing Ltd. Company.

Bybee, R.W., Carlson- Powell, J.; Trowbridge, L. W. (2008). Teaching secondary school science :*strategies for developing scientific literacy* (9th ed.). Merri, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Cambell. R. (2006). Teenage girls and cellular phone: discourses of Independence, safety and 'rebellion'. *Journal of youth studies, 9*, 195- 212.

Freeman, T. (2012). The lancet high lights role physics in medicine. M*edical physics. http://www.iop.org/mt4/mt-tb.cgi/4415.*

Karamustafaogw, S. (2011). Improving the science process skills ability of science students teacher using diagrams, *Eurasian Journal of Physics and Chemistry Education, 3*(1), 26-38.

Macbeth, D. R. (1974). The extent to which pupils manipulate materials and attainment of process skills in elementary school science. *Journal of Research in science teaching, 13*(5), 405-412.

Mbamalu, O. J. (1990). An investigation into students attitude and interest towards physics in Anambra State Senior Secondary School. *Unpublished M.Ed. Project, University of Nigeria, Nsukka.*

Musasia, A. M. (2012). Effect of practical work in physics on girls' performance, attitude change and skills acquisition in the form two-form form three secondary schools transition in Kenya. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science, 2*(23), 151-168.

Njoku, Z. C., & Atanga, N. J. (2011). Identification of difficult science process skills in physics practical's activities amongst advanced level physics students. *African Journal of Science and Mathematics Education* (AJSTME). *I*(I).

Nzewi, U. M. (1999). Girls movement away from sciences. A look at the Influence of teacher classroom behaviour. Nzewi U.M. (Ed.). *The Teacher: A book of Readings,* 99-100

Offorma, G. C. (1994). Principles of teaching and learning in G.C. Offorma (ed). *Curriculum Implementation and Instruction.* Uni-world Education Publishers (Nig) Ltd.

Opera, J. A. (2011). Some considerations in achieving effective teaching and learning in science education. *Journal of Education and Social Research, 1*(4).

Sharma, R., Rohilla, R., Sharma, M., Manjunath, T. C. (2009). Design and stimulation of optical Fober bragg gratting pressure sensor for minimum attention criteria. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology,* 515-530.

Stanlay, H. E. (2000). Exotic statistical physics: Applications to biology, medicine and economics. *Physical*. A, *285*, 1-17.